

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 ROY TROST, *also known as* Daisy Lynne  
5 Meadows,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 NETHANJAH CHILDERS, *et al.*,

9 Defendants.

Case No. 3:22-CV-00383-MMD-CLB  
**ORDER DENYING MISCELLANEOUS  
MOTIONS**

[ECF Nos. 34, 35]

10 Before the Court are two motions filed by Plaintiff, a special motion for prisoner  
11 release order and a motion request for a three-judge court. (ECF Nos. 34, 35.) While  
12 docketed as two separate motions, the documents are identical. These motions are  
13 improper for several reasons.

14 First, it is unclear what specific relief Plaintiff seeks through the motions. The  
15 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require that all motions filed with the Court state with  
16 particularity the grounds for seeking the order and the relief sought. Fed. R. Civ. P.  
17 7(b)(1)(B)-(C). Additionally, this is the second time Plaintiff has filed motions for release  
18 and for a three-judge court. (See ECF Nos. 25, 26, 29.)

19 Plaintiff was previously advised and is advised again that the filing of frivolous and  
20 legally unsupported motions is forbidden by the Local Rules of Practice and the Federal  
21 Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court has a heavy docket. Plaintiff's case is just one of  
22 hundreds before the Court, and her frivolous filings slow the pace of her litigation. They  
23 also place her at risk of sanctions. Accordingly, because it is unclear what specific relief  
24 Plaintiff seeks, her motions, (ECF Nos. 34, 35), are **DENIED**.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 **DATED:** August 28, 2023.

27   
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE